# Rapid Identification of Salamanders from the Jefferson Complex with Taxon-Specific Primers

Sarah Noël<sup>1</sup>, Jeanne Dumoulin<sup>1</sup>, Martin Ouellet<sup>2</sup>, Patrick Galois<sup>2</sup>, and François-Joseph Lapointe<sup>1</sup>

The Jefferson complex comprises the Jefferson Salamander (*Ambystoma jeffersonianum*) and the Blue-spotted Salamander (*Ambystoma laterale*), in addition to unisexual salamanders, which present various combinations of the nuclear genome of the two bisexual species and show different levels of ploidy. The unisexuals arose by an ancestral hybridization event, and they all share a similar mitochondrial haplotype clearly different from that of the bisexual species. Although adults of *A. jeffersonianum* and *A. laterale* are usually easily differentiated morphologically, unisexuals can be difficult to identify visually because they may possess intermediate characters, or morphological traits from either of their bisexual salamanders of the Jefferson complex based on taxon-specific primers designed in the mitochondrial cytochrome *b* region. This molecular approach allows for a simple, rapid, and non-invasive identification of unisexuals, using a single polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and small tissue samples that can easily be obtained from live specimens. We believe that this approach will be useful to screen a large number of individuals quickly in order to identify populations of the Jefferson Salamander, a crucial step towards the conservation of this threatened species.

• HE Jefferson Salamander (*Ambystoma jeffersonianum*) is distributed throughout regions of eastern North America. Locally isolated populations are restricted to places where suitable breeding ponds occur, and the species is considered threatened in Canada, and imperiled or vulnerable throughout most of its American range (Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, 2000; NatureServe, 2006: http://www.natureserve.org/ explorer). This salamander is part of the Ambystoma complex, along with three other bisexual species (A. laterale =Blue-spotted Salamander, A. texanum = Small-mouthed Salamander, and A. tigrinum = Tiger Salamander) and arrays of unisexual salamanders, which are almost exclusively female (Bogart and Klemens, 1997). Those unisexuals are of different ploidy levels, containing at least one copy of the A. laterale nuclear genome and various combinations of the genome from the other three bisexual species. To designate the genomic composition of salamanders within the Ambystoma complex, an informal system was adopted (Lowcock et al., 1987) in which each letter identifies a set of chromosomes (J = A. jeffersonianum, L = A. laterale, T = A. texanum, and Ti =A. tigrinum). Twenty different genomic unisexual compositions have been uncovered to date, from diploids (LJ, LT) to pentaploids (LLLLJ). Interestingly, all unisexuals share a similar mitochondrial haplotype clearly different from that of the bisexual species and that is related to the Streamside Salamander (Ambystoma barbouri; Hedges et al., 1992; Bogart, 2003). Thus, it has been postulated that unisexual salamanders arose by an ancestral hybridization event involving an ancestor of A. barbouri (Robertson et al., 2006).

Within the *Ambystoma* complex, the smaller Jefferson complex comprises *A. jeffersonianum* and *A. laterale*, in addition to unisexuals containing various combinations of the nuclear genome of the two bisexual species (LJ, LLJ, LJJ,

LLLJ, LJJJ, LLLJJ, LLLLJ; Bogart, 2003). As unisexuals reproduce by gynogenesis or hybridogenesis, they depend on males from the bisexual species for reproduction, and are thus always found in sympatry with either *A. jeffersonianum* or *A. laterale* (Bogart et al., 1989). Although the bisexual adult males can easily be differentiated morphologically from each other and from unisexuals, the unisexuals are difficult to discriminate because they may possess intermediate characters, and often they cannot be differentiated from either one of the bisexual species (Petranka, 1998).

Various identification methods have been developed to discriminate members of the Jefferson complex. These include allozyme electrophoresis (Bogart, 1982; Bogart et al., 1985), chromosome counts (Sessions, 1982), blood erythrocyte size (Uzzell, 1964; Wilbur, 1976; Austin and Bogart, 1982), DNA content by flow cytometry (Lowcock et al., 1991), and microsatellite markers (Julian et al., 2003; Ramsden et al., 2005). However, all techniques except microsatellites require invasive sampling and cannot be applied to preserved tissues or eggs. Most techniques also need costly equipment. In this paper, we introduce a simple, rapid, and non-invasive method to identify salamanders of the Jefferson complex using taxon-specific primers to be used in a single polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

To design specific primers, we targeted the 307 base pairs (bp) of DNA sequence from the mitochondrial cytochrome *b* gene used by Hedges et al. (1992). We retrieved 29 Jefferson complex sequences from GenBank, including six from *A. jeffersonianum*, ten from *A. laterale*, and 13 from unisexuals (accession numbers Z11615–Z11624, Z11627–Z11628, Z11630–Z11632, Z11635, Z11641, Z11643–Z11646, Z11651–Z11652, Z11655–Z11660) distributed among two Canadian

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succursale Centre-Ville, Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7 Canada; E-mail: (SN) sarah.noel-boissonneault@umontreal.ca. Send reprint requests to SN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Amphibia–Nature, 4250 rue Garnier, Montréal, Québec H2J 3R5 Canada.

Submitted: 19 October 2006. Accepted: 16 July 2007. Section Editor: J. M. Quattro.

<sup>© 2008</sup> by the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists 👹 DOI: 10.1643/CG-06-255

Table 1. Sampling Locality of Ambystoma laterale (LL) and Unisexuals Collected in the Field from Québec, Canada, and Results of Molecular Identification with Specific Primers.

Location	Coordinates (NAD83)	Year	Sample type	п	Primers ID
Mont Saint-Hilaire	45°33′N, 73°08′W	1999	Larva	6	Unisexual
Carignan (site 1)	45°23′N, 73°20′W	2004	Tail tip	1	Unisexual
Carignan (site 2)	45°26′N, 73°21′W	2004	Tail tip	1	LL
Carignan (Île Goyer)	45°28′N, 73°16′W	2004	Tail tip	1	LL
Mont Royal	45°30′N, 73°35′W	2004	Tail tip	60	Unisexual (57) LL (3)
Collines d'Oka	45°29′N, 74°04′W	2005	Egg	1	Unisexual
Mont Mégantic	45°25′N, 71°07′W	2005	Tail tip	1	Unisexual
Mont Rougemont	45°29′N, 73°02′W	2005	Tail tip	1	Unisexual

provinces (Ontario and Prince Edward Island) and five American states (Connecticut, Illinois, Maine, New York, Vermont). By focusing on sites that discriminate unisexuals from bisexual species, we designed a reverse primer (Hybrid-REV 5'-CAA ATR AAA AAG AAT GAG-3') that is specific to all genomic combinations of unisexuals from the Jefferson complex. When used in conjunction with the primer Universal-FW (5'-ACA GCA GAC ACA TCA TCA-3'), designed to anneal to DNA from all members of the Jefferson complex, a 113-bp fragment is amplified in unisexuals only. To make sure that the absence of this unisexual band is not due to PCR failure, a 258-bp fragment is also amplified in all specimens using the forward primer Universal-FW and a modification of the reverse primer originally designed by Hedges et al. (1992): Universal-REV (5'-CTC AAA AAG ATA TTT GTC CTC A-3'). Consequently, A. jeffersonianum and A. laterale should only display the 258-bp fragment, whereas unisexuals should additionally display the specific 113-bp band.

To assess the efficiency of the developed primers, we tested them on frozen museum samples from different localities previously identified by allozymes, flow cytometry, or morphology. Additionally, we analyzed 72 unknown specimens from deciduous or mixed deciduous–conifer forests sampled from 1999–2005 in eight localities in southern Québec, Canada (Table 1). Tail tips, eggs, or larvae were collected in the field, and tissue was stored in 95% ethanol. DNA extractions were performed with Quick Lysis (Olsen et al., 1996) for all specimens, except museum samples for which a standard phenol–chloroform protocol was used (Sambrook et al., 1989).

We carried out multiplex-PCR amplification in a total volume of 20 µl. Each reaction mixture was composed of 2 µl 10× buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1% Triton X-100, 500 mM KCl), 0.5 mM of each dNTPs, 32 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 pmol of the forward universal primer, 4 pmol of the universal specific reverse primer, 12 pmol of the universal reverse primer, 1 U of *Taq* Polymerase, and approximately 50 ng of template DNA. The PCR profile was an initial denaturation of 2 min at 94°C, followed by 32 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 90 sec at 50°C and 90 sec at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis on a 3% agarose gel, stained with Vistra Green (Amersham Biosciences), and visualized by UV transillumination. We determined the size of the fragments by comparison to a 100-bp ladder.

#### RESULTS

The amplified fragments were of expected sizes for most museum specimens; all displayed the universal 258-bp

fragment, whereas unisexuals additionally displayed the specific 113-bp band. However, one sample (ROM 17575) from Whiteshell Provincial Park (Manitoba) that was originally designated as *A. laterale* based on morphological criteria was identified as a unisexual using this assay. The sequencing of this specimen (accession number DQ490106) using the primers of Hedges et al. (1992) confirmed it as a unisexual. Most of the field specimens were identified as unisexuals. In the Mont Royal population, where a large number of salamanders were sampled, 95% of the individuals were unisexuals.

#### DISCUSSION

Specific primers represent an increasingly popular method to discriminate sister taxa or cryptic species and have also proven useful for the detection of identification errors (Lin et al., 2002; Noël et al., 2004; Tessier et al., 2004). The specific primers developed in this study allow for the quick identification of Ambystoma salamanders from the Jefferson complex. Altough alternative approaches such as direct sequencing of PCR products, microsatellites, allozyme electrophoresis, or chromosome data may also be used to identify particular genotypes or obtain population genetics information, specific primers present the advantage of being simpler, cheaper, and rapid when only the distinction between unisexuals and bisexuals is needed. Although the distribution of A. jeffersonianum is not fully known, the species seems to have a patchy distribution and suitable habitat is threatened by urban encroachment. We believe that this non-invasive approach will be useful to quickly screen a large number of individuals to accurately identify populations of A. *jeffersonianum*, a crucial step towards the conservation of this threatened species.

The specific primers developed in this study also allowed us to uncover the presence of unisexuals where only A. laterale were thought to occur. In Québec, a unisexual from Mont Mégantic was identified, which represents a slight extension to the known range of unisexuals in this province (Petranka, 1998). A specimen from Manitoba that was previously identified morphologically as A. laterale was also identified as a unisexual. As the currently known distribution of LLJ unisexuals is limited to Wisconsin in the west (Petranka, 1998), this result could mean that the range of these unisexuals is larger than previously thought. However, two additional museum specimens sampled from the same locality were identified as A. laterale. Although it is probable that the two forms co-exist in this area, unisexuals usually outnumber bisexual individuals at a particular site (Lowcock et al., 1991), as observed in the Mont Royal population in

Québec. Therefore, the apparent presence of a unisexual in the Whiteshell Provincial Park may also be explained by a labeling error. Additional sampling from this area is thus needed to ascertain the presence of LLJ unisexuals in Manitoba.

# MATERIAL EXAMINED

Institutional abbreviations follow Leviton et al. (1985), except for BOG which represents catalogue numbers from J. Bogart (University of Guelph). The method used to identify the specimen is indicated in parentheses: A = allozymes, F = flow cytometry, and M = morphology.

*Ambystoma jeffersonianum*. BOG 10539 (A), Adams County, Ohio; BOG 10542 (A), Adams County, Ohio; ROM 16811 (A), Halton County, Ontario; AMNH 129341 (A), Hamden County, Massachusetts; AMNH 129343 (A), Hamden County, Massachusetts; BOG 29574 (A), Litchfield County, Connecticut; BOG 29487 (A), Oswego County, New York; BOG 29460 (A), Sullivan County, New York; BOG 19765 (A), Wentworth County, Ontario; BOG 29508 (A), York County, Pennsylvania.

*Ambystoma laterale.* ROM 20363 (A), Cochrane County, Ontario; ROM LL579 (A), Ozaukee County, Wisconsin; ROM 17029 (M), Whiteshell Provincial Park, Manitoba; ROM 17574 (M), Whiteshell Provincial Park, Manitoba; ROM 17575 (M), Whiteshell Provincial Park, Manitoba.

Unisexual *Ambystoma*. ROM 17660 (F), Haliburton County, Ontario; ROM 17662 (F), Haliburton County, Ontario; ROM 17666 (F), Haliburton County, Ontario; ROM 18685 (A), Peel County, Ontario.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. MacCulloch and R. Murphy from the Royal Ontario Museum, J. Bogart from the University of Guelph, and the American Museum of Natural History for kindly providing museum specimens. We also thank R. Pétel and P. Labonté for help in the field, L. Lowcock for additional information, and all members of the Laboratoire d'écologie moléculaire et d'évolution (LEMEE) for their comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript. All manipulations in the field were done according to the animal-welfare protocol CDEAF 05-023 issued by the Comité de déontologie de l'expérimentation sur les animaux de l'Université de Montréal and collecting permits 1999-05-20-104-16-SF, 2004-04-01-112-16-SF, and 2005-05-02-119-16-SF delivered by the Ministère des Ressources naturelles et de la Faune du Québec. This study was supported by Amphibia-Nature, the Direction des sports, des parcs et des espaces verts de la Ville de Montréal, the Gault Nature Reserve of McGill University, Nature-Action Québec, the Parc national du Mont-Mégantic, the Parc national d'Oka, NSERC scholarship to S. Noël, and NSERC grant no. 0155251 to F.-J. Lapointe.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Austin, N. E., and J. P. Bogart. 1982. Erythrocyte area and ploidy determination in the salamanders of the *Ambystoma jeffersonianum* complex. Copeia 1982:485–488.
- **Bogart, J. P.** 1982. Ploidy and genetic diversity in Ontario salamanders of the *Ambystoma jeffersonianum* complex revealed through an electrophoretic examination of larvae. Canadian Journal of Zoology 60:848–855.

- **Bogart, J. P.** 2003. Genetics and systematics of hybrid species, p. 109–134. *In*: Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of Urodela. D. M. Sever (ed.). Science Publishers, Enfield, New Hampshire.
- Bogart, J. P., R. P. Elinson, and L. E. Licht. 1989. Temperature and sperm incorporation in polyploid salamanders. Science 246:1032–1034.
- **Bogart, J. P., and M. W. Klemens.** 1997. Hybrids and genetic interactions of mole salamanders (*Ambystoma jeffersonianum* and *A. laterale*) (Amphibia: Caudata) in New York and New England. American Museum Novitates 3218:1–78.
- Bogart, J. P., L. E. Licht, M. J. Oldham, and S. J. Darbyshire. 1985. Electrophoretic identification of *Ambystoma laterale* and *Ambystoma texanum* as well as their diploid and triploid interspecific hybrids (Amphibia: Caudata) on Pelee Island, Ontario. Canadian Journal of Zoology 63:340–347.
- Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. 2000. COSEWIC status report on the Jefferson salamander, *Ambystoma jeffersonianum*, in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada.
- Hedges, S. B., J. P. Bogart, and L. R. Maxson. 1992. Ancestry of unisexual salamanders. Nature 356:708–710.
- Julian, S. E., T. L. King, and W. K. Savage. 2003. Novel Jefferson salamander, *Ambystoma jeffersonianum*, microsatellite DNA markers detect population structure and hybrid complexes. Molecular Ecology Notes 3:95–97.
- Leviton, A. E., R. H. Gibbs, Jr., E. Heal, and C. E. Dawson. 1985. Standards in herpetology and ichthyology: part I. Standard symbolic codes for institutional resource collections in herpetology and ichthyology. Copeia 1985:802– 832.
- Lin, Y. S., Y. P. Poh, S. M. Lin, and C. S. Tzeng. 2002. Molecular techniques to identify freshwater eels: RFLP analyses of PCR amplified DNA fragments and allelespecific PCR from mitochondrial DNA. Zoological Studies 41:421–430.
- Lowcock, L. A., H. Griffith, and R. W. Murphy. 1991. The *Ambystoma laterale–jeffersonianum* complex in central Ontario: ploidy structure, sex ratio, and breeding dynamics in a bisexual–unisexual community. Copeia 1991:87–105.
- Lowcock, L. A., L. E. Licht, and J. P. Bogart. 1987. Nomenclature in hybrid complexes of *Ambystoma* (Urodela: Ambystomatidae): no case for the erection of hybrid "species". Systematic Zoology 36:328–336.
- Noël, S., N. Tessier, B. Angers, D. M. Wood, and F.-J. Lapointe. 2004. Molecular identification of two species of myiasis-causing *Cuterebra* by multiplex PCR and RFLP. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 18:161–166.
- Olsen, J. B., J. K. Wenburg, and P. Bentzen. 1996. Semiautomated multilocus genotyping of Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* sp.) using microsatellites. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology 5:259–272.
- Petranka, J. W. 1998. Salamanders of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.
- Ramsden, C., K. Bériault, and J. P. Bogart. 2005. A nonlethal method of identification of *Ambystoma laterale*, *A. jeffersonianum* and sympatric unisexuals. Molecular Ecology Notes 6:261–264.
- Robertson, A. V., C. Ramsden, J. Niedzwiecki, J. Fu, and J. P. Bogart. 2006. An unexpected recent ancestor of unisexual *Ambystoma*. Molecular Ecology 15:3339–3351.

- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Sessions, S. K. 1982. Cytogenetics of diploid and triploid salamanders of the *Ambystoma jeffersonianum* complex. Chromosoma 84:599–621.
- Tessier, N., S. Noël, and F.-J. Lapointe. 2004. A new method to discriminate the deer mouse (*Peromyscus*

*maniculatus*) from the white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) using species-specific primers in multiplex PCR. Canadian Journal of Zoology 82:1832–1835.

- Uzzell, T. M. 1964. Relations of the diploid and triploid species of the *Ambystoma jeffersonianum* complex (Amphibia, Caudata). Copeia 1964:257–300.
- Wilbur, H. M. 1976. A sequential sampling procedure for identifying triploid salamanders. Copeia 1976:391–392.